EECS 16B Designing Information Devices and Systems II

Profs. Miki Lustig and JP Tennant Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science

Announcements

- Midterm #1
 - Monday, February 26, 8-10pm
 - New material covered today will not be on MT #1
 - Review session is in Mulford 159, Wednesday 7-10pm
- Lab
 - New and Improved Lab #5 this week
 - No need to bring your car this week!

Today

- Resonant Circuits / Quality Factor Correction from last time!
- Signals and Systems
- State Space Representation of a System
- Vector Differential Equations

• Quantifies resonance, i.e. the degree to which a system that oscillates is underdamped

- Quantifies resonance, i.e. the degree to which a system that oscillates is underdamped
- Can be defined in terms of bandwidth

$$Q \triangleq \frac{\omega_0}{\Delta\omega_0} = \frac{f_0}{\Delta f_0}$$



- Quantifies resonance, i.e. the degree to which a system that oscillates is underdamped
- Can be defined in terms of bandwidth
- Can also be defined in terms of energy or power



- Quantifies resonance, i.e. the degree to which a system that oscillates is underdamped
- Can be defined in terms of bandwidth
- Can also be defined in terms of energy or power



See Note 06C (optional)

 $Q \triangleq \frac{E_{\text{stored}}}{E_{\text{lost per cycle}}} = \frac{P_{\text{reactive}}}{P_{\text{avg}}}$

 $Q = \frac{\omega_0 L}{R} = \frac{1}{\omega_0 CR} = \frac{1}{R} \sqrt{\frac{L}{C}} \qquad \omega_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{LC}}$



 $Q \triangleq \frac{E_{\text{stored}}}{E_{\text{lost per cycle}}} = \frac{P_{\text{reactive}}}{P_{\text{avg}}}$







$$Q = \frac{R}{\omega_0 L} = \omega_0 C R = R \sqrt{\frac{C}{L}} \qquad \qquad \omega_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{LC}}$$

 $Q \triangleq \frac{E_{\text{stored}}}{E_{\text{lost per cycle}}} = \frac{P_{\text{reactive}}}{P_{\text{avg}}}$



$$Q = \frac{\omega_0 L}{R} = \frac{1}{\omega_0 CR} = \frac{1}{R} \sqrt{\frac{L}{C}} \qquad \omega_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{LC}}$$

In lecture I wrote: $Q = \frac{R}{\omega_0 L} = \omega_0 CR$



$$Q = \frac{R}{\omega_0 L} = \omega_0 C R = R \sqrt{\frac{C}{L}} \qquad \qquad \omega_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{LC}}$$

 $Q \triangleq \frac{E_{\text{stored}}}{E_{\text{lost per cycle}}} = \frac{P_{\text{reactive}}}{P_{\text{avg}}}$

















Is Resonance (high Q) good? Not always...

Is Resonance (high Q) good? Not always...







The systems we will study are generally:

• Multiple Input / Multiple Output



The systems we will study are generally:

Multiple Input / Multiple Output



The systems we will study are generally:

Multiple Input / Multiple Output



The systems we will study are generally:

- Multiple Input / Multiple Output
- Linear



The systems we will study are generally:

- Multiple Input / Multiple Output
- Linear
- Time-Invariant

High School:



High School:



$$\vec{v} \longrightarrow T \longrightarrow T(\vec{v})$$

$$\vec{v} \longrightarrow T \longrightarrow T(\vec{v})$$

This is a linear transformation (linear system) iff:

$$\vec{v} \longrightarrow T \longrightarrow T(\vec{v})$$

This is a linear transformation (linear system) iff:

 $T\left(C\vec{v}\right) = C\,T\left(\vec{v}\right)$

preserves scaling

$$\vec{v} \longrightarrow T \longrightarrow T(\vec{v})$$

- / -. .

This is a linear transformation (linear system) iff:

$$T(C\vec{v}) = CT(\vec{v})$$
$$T(\vec{v} + \vec{w}) = T(\vec{v}) + T(\vec{w})$$

_.__ /

preserves scaling preserves addition

$$\vec{v} \longrightarrow T \longrightarrow T(\vec{v})$$

This is a linear transformation (linear system) iff:

$$T(C\vec{v}) = CT(\vec{v})$$
$$T(\vec{v} + \vec{w}) = T(\vec{v}) + T(\vec{w})$$

~ ~ / 13

preserves scaling

preserves addition

 $T(C_1\vec{v} + C_2\vec{w}) = C_1T(\vec{v}) + C_2T(\vec{w})$ => preserves linear combinations

$$\vec{v} \longrightarrow T \longrightarrow T(\vec{v})$$

This is a linear transformation (linear system) iff:

$$T(C\vec{v}) = CT(\vec{v}) \qquad \text{preserves scaling}$$
$$T(\vec{v} + \vec{w}) = T(\vec{v}) + T(\vec{w}) \qquad \text{preserves addition}$$

 $T(C_1\vec{v} + C_2\vec{w}) = C_1T(\vec{v}) + C_2T(\vec{w})$ => preserves linear combinations

=> the "<u>superposition</u>" principle applies

Suppose: f(x) = 3x + 5

True or False: The function f represents a linear transformation on x.

- 1. True
- 2. False

Check yourself:

Suppose: f(x) = 3x + 5

True or False: The function f represents a linear transformation on x.

- 1. True
- 2. False

False. This represents a linear transformation plus a translation. Mathematicians call this an "affine" transformation.























Process starts with developing a model:

1. Define inputs, outputs, state variables.











Process starts with developing a model:

- 1. Define inputs, outputs, state variables.
- 2. Write differential equations to capture system behavior.











Process starts with developing a model:

- 1. Define inputs, outputs, state variables.
- 2. Write differential equations to capture system behavior.
- 3. Test, refine model as necessary.





State Variables:

• position (x,y,z)



- position (x,y,z)
- orientation (roll, pitch, yaw)



- position (x,y,z)
- orientation (roll, pitch, yaw)
- velocities



- position (x,y,z)
- orientation (roll, pitch, yaw)
- velocities
- control surface positions



- position (x,y,z)
- orientation (roll, pitch, yaw)
- velocities
- control surface positions
- etc



State Variables:

- position (x,y,z)
- orientation (roll, pitch, yaw)
- velocities
- control surface positions
- etc

Inputs:

- thrust
- control surface positions



State Variables:

- position (x,y,z)
- orientation (roll, pitch, yaw)
- velocities
- control surface positions
- etc

Inputs:

- thrust
- control surface positions

Outputs:

- position
- orientation
- velocities





Compare to:
$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \lambda x + bu(t)$$



This is what we call a vector differential equation.

Compare to:
$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \lambda x + bu(t)$$

 $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$



 $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$

Compare to:
$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \lambda x + bu(t)$$



Compare to:
$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \lambda x + bu(t)$$



Compare to:
$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \lambda x + bu(t)$$



Compare to:
$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \lambda x + bu(t)$$



Compare to:
$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \lambda x + bu(t)$$



• Input, output, and state vectors are signals. (These are *time-varying*.)



- Input, output, and state vectors are signals. (These are *time-varying*.)
- A, B, and C matrices capture system behavior. (These are *time-invariant*.)



- Input, output, and state vectors are signals. (These are *time-varying*.)
- A, B, and C matrices capture system behavior. (These are *time-invariant*.)
- We often ignore the output equation and treat the states as the outputs.

Our state-space model is Linear Time Invariant (LTI) => A, B, and C are matrices of *constants*:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\vec{x}}{dt} &= A\vec{x} + B\vec{u} \\ \vec{y} &= C\vec{x} \end{aligned}$$

The time-invariance assumption is often a good one. However, almost everything is <u>non-linear</u>.

Why are we focusing on linear systems?

Our state-space model is Linear Time Invariant (LTI) => A, B, and C are matrices of *constants*:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \frac{d\vec{x}}{dt} & = & A\vec{x} + B\vec{u} \\ \\ \vec{y} & = & C\vec{x} \end{array}$$

The time-invariance assumption is often a good one. However, almost everything is non-linear.

Why are we focusing on linear systems?

• Nonlinear systems can often be approximated using a linear model, especially locally.



Our state-space model is Linear Time Invariant (LTI) => A, B, and C are matrices of *constants*:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \frac{d\vec{x}}{dt} & = & A\vec{x} + B\vec{u} \\ \\ \vec{y} & = & C\vec{x} \end{array}$$

The time-invariance assumption is often a good one. However, almost everything is non-linear.

Why are we focusing on linear systems?

- Nonlinear systems can often be approximated using a linear model, especially locally.
- Linear systems are well-understood, and much easier to work with.
 - superposition
 - tools (Fourier, Laplace, Phasors, etc)





1. Given initial conditions and an input signal, can we determine the state trajectory?



- 1. Given initial conditions and an input signal, can we determine the state trajectory?
- 2. **Path Planning:** Given an initial state and a desired final state, find feasible (or optimal) state trajectory.



- 1. Given initial conditions and an input signal, can we determine the state trajectory?
- 2. **Path Planning:** Given an initial state and a desired final state, find feasible (or optimal) state trajectory.
- 3. **Control:** Given a desired state trajectory, find inputs to achieve that trajectory.